

LM09 · QUANTITATIVE METHODS · CFA LEVEL I

Parametric and Non-Parametric Tests of Independence

Tests of correlation, Spearman rank, contingency tables, chi-square

What We Test

Two related inference problems: (1) is the **correlation** between two variables zero (or not)? and (2) are two **categorical variables** independent? Parametric and non-parametric tools address both.

Learning Outcome Statements

LOS a Explain parametric and non-parametric tests of the hypothesis that the population correlation coefficient equals zero, and determine whether the hypothesis is rejected at a given level of significance

LOS b Explain tests of independence based on contingency table data

LOS A · PARAMETRIC TEST OF CORRELATION

Test of Pearson Correlation

Hypotheses

- Two-sided: $H_0: \rho = 0$; $H_a: \rho \neq 0$
- Left-tailed: $H_0: \rho \geq 0$; $H_a: \rho < 0$
- Right-tailed: $H_0: \rho \leq 0$; $H_a: \rho > 0$

$$r_{xy} = \text{Cov}(x, y) / (S_x \cdot S_y) \quad (\text{Pearson/bivariate correlation})$$

Test Statistic

$$t_{n-2} = r \sqrt{(n-2) / (1-r^2)}$$

Large-Sample Warning

As $n \uparrow$, H_0 is rejected for even **very small correlations**. In big datasets, almost any r will be statistically significant.

Example: $r = 0.02$, $n = 10,000$

$t = 0.02\sqrt{9,998} / \sqrt{(1 - 0.02^2)} \approx 2$, vs critical $t = 1.96 \Rightarrow$ reject H_0 !

WORKED EXAMPLE

Pearson Correlation Significance Test

WORKED EXAMPLE

Oil Prices vs Energy Stocks

GIVEN

$r = 0.7986$ between oil prices and monthly energy-stock returns in Country A, January 2014 through December 2018 ($n = 60$ months). Test at $\alpha = 0.05$.

HYPOTHESES

$H_0: \rho = 0$ (true population correlation is zero)

$H_a: \rho \neq 0$

CALCULATION

$$\begin{aligned} t &= 0.7986 \cdot \sqrt{(60 - 2)} / \sqrt{(1 - 0.7986^2)} \\ &= 0.7986 \cdot \sqrt{58} / \sqrt{(1 - 0.6378)} \\ &= 6.0820 / 0.6019 = \mathbf{10.1052} \end{aligned}$$

Critical value: $t_{58, 0.025} \approx \mathbf{2.00}$

DECISION

Since $|10.1052| > 2.00$, **reject H_0** . The population correlation is significantly different from zero.

Exam Tip: The magnitude of r needed to reject $H_0: \rho = 0$ decreases as n increases because (1) critical t falls and (2) the t -statistic's magnitude rises for any given r .

LOS A · NON-PARAMETRIC TEST

Spearman Rank Correlation Coefficient

Used when the **normality assumption** for X or Y is violated, or **outliers** are present. It is a correlation calculated on *rank values* rather than raw observations.

Procedure

1. Rank all X values from largest (rank 1) to smallest (rank n). For a tie, assign the **average rank** (e.g., 3 tied for 6th → each gets $(6+7+8)/3 = 7$).
2. Repeat for Y.
3. On the original paired data, compute $d_i^2 = (\text{rank } X_i - \text{rank } Y_i)^2$.
4. Compute $r_S = 1 - [6 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2] / [n(n^2 - 1)]$

Testing r_S ($n > 30$)

$$t_{n-2} = r_S \cdot (n-2) / (1 - r_S^2)$$

Exam Tip: Use Spearman when: normality violated, outliers present, or data are ordinal (ranks).

CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING · QUIZ 1

Quiz 1

Select the best answer. Feedback appears after each click.

Q1. The parametric test statistic for $H_0: \rho = 0$ is distributed with:

Q2. $r = 0.60$, $n = 30$. The t-statistic is closest to:

Q3. Spearman rank correlation is preferred over Pearson when:

Q4. For $r = 0.02$, $n = 10,000$, the Pearson t-statistic is approximately 2. This shows:

LOS B · TESTS OF INDEPENDENCE

Contingency Tables & Chi-Square Test

A **contingency table** is a two-way array. Rows = attributes of one variable, columns = attributes of the other. Cells hold observed frequencies. We test whether the two characteristics are **independent**.

Test Statistic (non-parametric, right-tailed)

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2 / E_{ij}$$

$$df = (r - 1)(c - 1)$$

where m = total cells, O_{ij} = observed in cell, E_{ij} = expected if independent.

$$E_{ij} = (\text{row}_i \text{total}) \times (\text{column}_j \text{total}) / \text{overall total}$$

Exam Tip: Chi-square is always **right-tailed** because squared deviations can't be negative. Larger $\chi^2 \rightarrow$ observed further from expected $\rightarrow$ reject independence.

WORKED EXAMPLE

Size vs Type Independence Test

WORKED EXAMPLE

Stock Size and Type

GIVEN

3 × 3 contingency table of stocks classified by size (S/M/L) and type (V/B/G). Overall total = 1,594. $df = (3-1)(3-1) = 4$.

$$E_{SV} = (503 \times 148)/1594 = \mathbf{46.703}$$

$$E_{MG} = (366 \times 381)/1594 = \mathbf{87.482}$$

$$O_{SV} = 50, O_{MG} = 122.$$

HYPOTHESES

H_0 : size and type are independent

H_a : size and type are NOT independent

CELL CONTRIBUTIONS (EXAMPLES)

$$SV \text{ cell: } (O-E)^2/E = (50 - 46.703)^2 / 46.703 = \mathbf{0.2328}$$

$$\text{Summing all nine cells: } \chi^2 = \mathbf{32.08025}$$

$$\text{Critical value: } \text{CHISQ.INV}(0.95, 4) = \mathbf{9.4877}$$

DECISION

$32.08 > 9.49 \Rightarrow \mathbf{\text{Reject } H_0}$. Size and type are NOT independent.

Standardized Residuals

$$SR_{ij} = (O_{ij} - E_{ij}) / \sqrt{E_{ij}}$$

- $SR > 0$: more observations than expected if independent
- $SR < 0$: fewer observations than expected if independent

Example: $SR_{SV} = (50 - 46.703)/\sqrt{46.703} = \mathbf{0.48}$

$SR_{MG} = (122 - 87.482)/\sqrt{87.482} = \mathbf{3.69}$ (significantly more than expected).

CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING · QUIZ 2

Quiz 2

Select the best answer. Feedback appears after each click.

Q1. For a 3×4 contingency table, degrees of freedom for the chi-square test of independence are:

Q2. Expected frequency in cell (i, j) of a contingency table equals:

Q3. The chi-square test of independence is:

Q4. A standardized residual of -2.5 indicates the cell has:

Q5. Which of the following tests is parametric?

CHECK YOUR UNDERSTANDING · QUIZ 3

Quiz 3

Select the best answer. Feedback appears after each click.

Q1. $r = 0.7986$, $n = 60$. The t-statistic is closest to:

Q2. Spearman correlation for a sample of $n = 10$ (X, Y) paired observations with $\sum d_i^2 = 12$ is:

Q3. A researcher uses a chi-square test of independence on a 2×3 contingency table with $\chi^2 = 7.8$. Critical value $\chi^2_{0.05, 2} = 5.99$. The researcher should:

MODULE COMPLETE

LM09 · Parametric and Non-Parametric Tests of Independence Summary

Final Score

0 / 0

Cheat Sheet

$$\text{Pearson : } t = r \sqrt{(n-2)/(1-r^2)}, df = n-2$$

$$\text{Spearman : } r_s = 1 - 6 \sum d_i^2 / [n(n^2 - 1)]$$

$$\chi^2 = \sum (O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2 / E_{ij}, df = (r-1)(c-1)$$

$$E_{ij} = (\text{rowtotal})(\text{coltotal}) / \text{grandtotal}$$

$$SR_{ij} = (O_{ij} - E_{ij}) / \sqrt{E_{ij}}$$

Chi-square test is always right-tailed

Last-Minute Exam Checklist

Oil/energy example: $r=0.7986$, $n=60$, $t=10.1052$, reject $\rho=0$

Size/type example: $\chi^2=32.08 > 9.49$, reject independence

Expected: $E_{SV}=(503 \times 148)/1594=46.703$

Std residual: $SR_{MG}=3.69$ = more than expected

Spearman: rank-based, robust to outliers

Small r , large n : can still be significant ($r=0.02$, $n=10,000$)

Step 1 of 10